Engagement Policy Implementation Statement ("EPIS")

Misys Retirement Benefits Plan (the "Plan")

Plan Year End – 31 May 2024

The purpose of the EPIS is for us, the Trustee of the Misys Retirement Benefits Plan, to explain what we have done during the year ending 31 May 2024 to achieve certain policies and objectives set out in the Statement of Investment Principles ("SIP"). It includes:

- How our policies in the SIP about asset stewardship (including both voting and engagement activity) in relation to the Plan's investments have been followed during the year; and
- 2. How we have exercised our voting rights or how these rights have been exercised on our behalf, including the use of any proxy voting advisory services, and the 'most significant' votes cast over the reporting year.

Our conclusion

Based on the activity we have undertaken during the year, we believe that the policies set out in the SIP have been implemented effectively.

In our view, most of the Plan's material investment managers were able to disclose good evidence of voting and engagement activity, and the activities completed by our managers align with our stewardship expectations.

We delegate the management of the Plan's assets to our fiduciary manager, Aon Investments Limited ("Aon"). We believe the activities completed by our fiduciary manager to review the underlying managers' voting and engagement policies, and activities align with our stewardship expectations. We believe our voting rights have been implemented effectively on our behalf.

Not all underlying investment managers were able to provide all the engagement information requested by AIL and AIL will continue to engage with these managers to encourage improvements in their reporting. These issues are set out in the Trustees' Engagement Action Plan.

.

How voting and engagement policies have been followed

The Plan is invested entirely in pooled funds, and so the responsibility for voting and engagement is delegated to the Plan's investment managers, which is in line with the policies set out in our SIP. We reviewed the stewardship activity of the material investment managers carried out over the Plan year and in our view, most of the investment managers were able to disclose good evidence of voting and engagement activity. More information on the stewardship activity carried out by the Plan's investment managers can be found in the following sections of this report.

Over the reporting year, we monitored the performance of the Plan's investments on a quarterly basis and received updates on important issues from our investment adviser, Aon Investments Limited ("Aon").

In Q3 2023 AIL replaced the BlackRock Emerging Markets equity fund with the UBS Emerging Markets Climate Transition fund and added the UBS Global Climate Transition equity fund in our portfolio. The new funds have been designed to provide broad market exposure while combining improved climate metrics, better ESG scores and positive social impact. Moreover, in Q1 2024 AIL made a change to the Short-Dated Credit allocation, replacing the Robeco Global Credits Short Maturity Fund with the AXA Carbon Transition Global Short Duration Bond Fund. This is a high conviction strategy that is net zero aligned.

Each year, we review the voting and engagement policies of the Plan's investment managers to ensure they align with our own policies for the Plan and help us to achieve them.

Over the reporting year we updated our Statement of Investment Principles in line with the Stewardship Guidance from The Department for Work and Pensions ("DWP").

The Plan's stewardship policy can be found in the SIP: <u>mrbp-statement-of-investment-principles-2023.pdf</u> (finastra.com)

Our Engagement Action Plan

Based on the work we have done for the EPIS, we have decided to take the following steps over the next 12 months:

- 1. While LGIM provided a comprehensive list of fund-level engagements, which we find encouraging, these examples did not give as much detail as required by the Investment Consultants Sustainability Working Group ("ICSWG") engagement reporting template. While the information UBS provided for its Global Equity Climate Transition Fund was strong, the significant voting examples it provided for the Emerging Markets Equity Climate Transition Fund didn't provide us with the level of insight into the voting activity for this fund that we would have preferred.
- 2. Our fiduciary manager will continue to engage with these managers to encourage improvements in their reporting.

What is stewardship?

Stewardship is investors using their influence over current or potential investees/issuers, policy makers, service providers and other stakeholders to create long-term value for clients and beneficiaries leading to sustainable benefits for the economy, the environment and society.

This includes prioritising which Environmental Social Governance ("ESG") issues to focus on, engaging with investees/issuers, and exercising voting rights.

Differing ownership structures means stewardship practices often differ between asset classes.

Source: UN PRI

Our fiduciary manager's engagement activity

We delegate the management of the Plan's assets to our fiduciary manager, Aon. Aon manages the Plan's assets in a range of funds which can include multi-asset, multi-manager and liability matching funds. Aon selects the underlying investment managers on our behalf.

We delegate monitoring of ESG integration and stewardship of the underlying managers to Aon. We have reviewed Aon's latest annual Stewardship Report and we believe it shows that Aon is using its resources to effectively influence positive outcomes in the funds in which it invests.

Over the year, Aon held several engagement meetings with many of the underlying managers in its strategies. Aon discussed ESG integration, stewardship, climate, biodiversity, and modern slavery with the investment managers. Aon provided feedback to the managers after these meetings with the aim of improving the standard of ESG integration across its portfolios.

Over the year, Aon engaged with the industry through white papers, working groups, webinars, and network events, as well as responding to multiple consultations.

In 2021, Aon committed to achieve net zero emissions by 2050, with a 50% reduction by 2030 for its fully delegated clients' portfolios and defined contribution default strategies (relative to baseline year of 2019).

Aon also successfully renewed its signatory status to the 2020 UK Stewardship Code, a set of high stewardship standards for asset owners and asset managers which is maintained and assessed by the Financial Reporting Council.

What is fiduciary management?

Fiduciary management is the delegation of some, or all, of the day-to-day investment decisions and implementation to a fiduciary manager. But the trustees still retain responsibility for setting the high-level investment strategy.

In fiduciary management arrangements, the trustees will often delegate monitoring ESG integration and asset stewardship to its fiduciary manager.

Our underlying managers' voting activity

Good asset stewardship means being aware and active on voting issues, corporate actions and other responsibilities tied to owning a company's stock. We believe that good stewardship is in the members' best interests to promote best practice and encourage investee companies to access opportunities, manage risk appropriately, and protect shareholders' interests. Understanding and monitoring the stewardship that investment managers practice in relation to the Plan's investments is an important factor in deciding whether a manager remains the right choice for the Plan.

Voting rights are attached to listed equity shares, including equities held in multi-asset funds. We expect the Plan's equity-owning investment managers to responsibly exercise their voting rights.

Voting statistics

The table below shows the voting statistics for each of the Plan's material funds with voting rights for the year to 31 March 2024. Managers collate voting information on a quarterly basis. The voting information provided is for the year to 31 March 2024 which broadly matches the Plan year.

Why is voting important?

Voting is an essential tool for listed equity investors to communicate their views to a company and input into key business decisions. Resolutions proposed by shareholders increasingly relate to social and environmental issues.

Source: UN PRI

Funds	Number of resolutions eligible to vote on	% of resolutions voted	% of votes against management	% of votes abstained from
LGIM – Multi-Factor Equity Fund	12,190	99.8%	21.1%	0.2%
UBS – Emerging Markets Equity Climate Transition Fund	1,653	85.6%	20.7%	0.1%
UBS – Global Equity Climate Transition Fund	12,343	95.0%	12.4%	0.1%

Source: Managers. Please note that the 'abstain' votes noted above are a specific category of vote that has been cast, and are distinct from a non-vote.

Use of proxy voting advisers

Many investment managers use proxy voting advisers to help them fulfil their stewardship duties. Proxy voting advisers provide recommendations to institutional investors on how to vote at shareholder meetings on issues such as climate change, executive pay and board composition. They can also provide voting execution, research, record keeping and other services.

Responsible investors will dedicate time and resources towards making their own informed decisions, rather than solely relying on their adviser's recommendations.

The table below describes how the Plan's managers use proxy voting advisers.

Why use a proxy voting adviser?

Outsourcing voting activities to proxy advisers enables managers that invest in thousands of companies to participate in many more votes than they would without their support.

Managers	Description of use of proxy voting advisers (in the managers' own words)
Legal & General Investment Management (LGIM)	LGIM's Investment Stewardship team uses Institutional Shareholder Service's (ISS) 'ProxyExchange' electronic voting platform to electronically vote clients' shares. All voting decisions are made by LGIM and we do not outsource any part of the strategic decisions. To ensure our proxy provider votes in accordance with our position on ESG, we have put in place a custom voting policy with specific voting instructions.
UBS Global Asset Management ("UBS")	UBS retains the services of Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS) for the physical exercise of voting rights and for supporting voting research. UBS retain full discretion when determining how to vote at shareholder meetings.

Source: Managers

Significant voting examples

To illustrate the voting activity being carried out on our behalf, we asked the Plan's investment managers to provide a selection of what they consider to be the most significant votes in relation to the Plan's funds. A sample of these significant votes can be found in the appendix.

Our managers' engagement activity

Engagement is when an investor communicates with current (or potential) investee companies (or issuers) to improve their ESG practices, sustainability outcomes or public disclosure. Good engagement identifies relevant ESG issues, sets objectives, tracks results, maps escalation strategies and incorporates findings into investment decision-making.

The table below shows some of the engagement activity carried out by the Plan's material managers. The managers have provided information for the most recent calendar year available.

Funda	Number of engagements		Thomas arranged on at a found lavel
Funds	Fund-level	Firm-level	Themes engaged on at a fund-level
LGIM – Multi-Factor Equity Fund	296	2,500	Environment - Climate Impact Pledge; Climate Change; Environmental Opportunities Social - Gender Diversity; Inequality; Public Health Governance - Remuneration; Board Composition; Shareholder Rights; Renumeration; Combined Chair & CEO
UBS – Emerging Markets Equity Climate Transition Fund	28	- 471	Environment - Climate Change; Nature Resource use/impact Social - Human and Labour Rights; Human Capital Management; Public Health Governance – Remuneration; Board Effectiveness - Diversity; Shareholder Rights Strategy, Financial & Reporting - Capital Allocation – Risk Management
UBS – Global Equity Climate Transition Fund		471	Environment - Climate Change; Nature Resource use/impact; Pollution, Waste Social - Human Capital Management; Inequality; Public Health; Governance - Remuneration; Board Effectiveness - Independence/Oversight; Shareholder Rights; Leadership - Chair, CEO Strategy, Financial & Reporting - Capital Allocation
Abrdn – Climate Transition Bond Fund	101	2,008	Environment - Climate; Social - Labour Management, Corporate Behaviour; Governance - Corporate Governance;
Aegon Asset Management – European Asset Backed Securities (ABS) Fund	127	528	Environment - Climate Change Governance - Board Effectiveness - Diversity; Leadership - Chair/CEO; Remuneration Other - General Disclosure
Robeco – Sustainable Development Goal ("SDG") Credit Income Fund	17	- 319	Environment - Climate Change; Natural Resource Use/Impact; Social - Human and Labour Rights Governance - Board Effectiveness Other - SDG Engagement
Robeco - Short Dated Credit Fund	28	- 319	Environment - Climate Change; Natural Resource Use/Impact Social - Human and Labour Rights Governance - Board Effectiveness - Other Other - SDG Engagement

Source: Managers

Data limitations

Whilst LGIM provided a comprehensive list of fund-level engagements, which we find encouraging, but it did not provide detailed engagement examples specific to the fund in which we are invested.

This report does not include commentary on certain asset classes such as Liability Driven Investments, gilts, liquid alternatives or cash because of the limited materiality of stewardship to these asset classes.

Appendix – Significant Voting Examples

In the table below are some significant vote examples provided by the Plan's managers. We consider a significant vote to be one which the voting manager considers significant or a vote where more than 15% of votes were cast against management. Managers use a wide variety of criteria to determine what they consider a significant vote, some of which are outlined in the examples below.

Factor Equity Fund Approximate size of fund's/mandate's holding as at the date of the vote (as % of portfolio) Summary of the resolution Reduction Goals How you voted? Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote? Rationale for the voting decision Rationale for the voting decision Approximate size of fund's/mandate's holding as at the date of the vote? Rationale for the voting decision Rationale for the vote manage the published targets. We believe detailed information on how a company intends to achieve the 2030 targets they have set and published to the market (the how rather than the what*, including activities and inferience involved and provides assurance to stakeholders and evides and what likely future steps will you take in response to the outcome eg were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will you take in response to the outcome? Company name Approximate size of fund's immandate's holding as at the date of the vote (as % of portfolio) Summary of the resolution Approximate size of fund's immandate's holding as at the date of the vote (as the vote) and the vote (as th	LOIM Mark!	0	The Teneda Deninian Deals	
Fund Approximate size of fund's/mandato's holding as at the date of the vote (as % of portfolio) Summary of the resolution How you voted? Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote? Rationale for the voting decision Approximate size of fund's/mandato's holding as at the date of the vote of the vote? Rationale for the voting decision Approximate size of fund's/mandato's holding as at the date of the vote of t	LGIM – Multi-	Company name	The Toronto-Dominion Bank	
Summary of the resolution Resolution Plan Towards 2030 Emission Reduction Goals			20 Aprii 2023	
Summary of the resolution Reduction Goals		fund's/mandate's holding as at the date of the vote (as % of	0.04	
Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote? Rationale for the voting decision Page Rationale for the voting decision Page Rationale for the voting decision Page We are not planning future steps in regard to the outcome, as the scheme was approved by a majority of shareholders.		Summary of the resolution		
management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote? Rationale for the voting decision Publications of the outcome egwere there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will you take in response to the outcome? On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be most significant? Pre-deciaration and Thematic — Climate: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as we pre-declared our intention to support. We continue to consider that decarbonisation of the Paris Kagreement are met. Company name Gamfeng Lithium Group Co. Ltd. Mot provided Pass Rationale for the voting decision Pass We generally support resolution they aim to manage their financing activities in line with their published to the market (the 'how' rather than the 'what', including activities and policies required to fulfil their own ambitions, rather than investors imposing restrictions on the company. Fall UBS — Emerging Markets Equity Climate Approximate size of fund's/mandate's holding as at the date of the vote (as % of portfolio) Summary of the resolution How you voted? Where you voted? Rationale for the voting decision Pass Pass Pall details for the plan and associated proposals have not been disclosed. Pass We are not planning future steps will we are		How you voted?	Votes supporting resolution	
Rationale for the voting decision Rationale for the vote in published targets. We believe detailed information on how a company intends to achieve the 2030 targets. We see and published to the market (the how' rather than the 'what', including act function to the saturation on the steps and timeframe involved and provide a susurance to stakeholders. The onus required to fulfill their own ambitions, rather than investors imposing restrictions on the company. LGIM will continue to engage with the company and monitor progress. Pre-declaration and Thematic – Climate: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as we pre-declared our intention to support. We continue to consider that decarbonisation of the banking sector and its clients is key to ensuring that the goals of the Paris Agreement are met. Romany of the vote (as % of portfolio) Romany of the resolution Romany of the resolution Romany of the resolution of the vote (as % of portfolio) Romany of the resolution of the vote (as % of portfolio) Romany of th		management, did you communicate your intent to the	LGIM Blog. As part of this process, a communication was sent	
Implications of the outcome eg were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will you take in response to the outcome? Pre-declaration and Thematic – Climate: LGIM considers this vote to be most significant?		decision	disclosures on how they aim to manage their financing activities in line with their published targets. We believe detailed information on how a company intends to achieve the 2030 targets they have set and published to the market (the 'how' rather than the 'what', including activities and timelines) can further focus the board's attention on the steps and timeframe involved and provides assurance to stakeholders. The onus remains on the board to determine the activities and policies required to fulfil their own ambitions, rather than investors imposing restrictions on the company.	
Were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will you take in response to the outcome? Con which criteria have you assessed this vote to be most significant? Pre-declaration and Thematic – Climate: LGIM considers this vote to be significant as we pre-declared our intention to support. We continue to consider that decarbonisation of the banking sector and its clients is key to ensuring that the goals of the Paris Agreement are met. Company name			Fail	
Vite to be significant as we pre-declared our intention to support. We continue to consider that decarbonisation of the banking sector and its clients is key to ensuring that the goals of the Paris Agreement are met. Very Climate Transition Fund Transit		were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will you take in response to the		
Company name Ganfeng Lithium Group Co. Ltd.		assessed this vote to be most	vote to be significant as we pre-declared our intention to support. We continue to consider that decarbonisation of the banking sector and its clients is key to ensuring that the goals of	
Climate Transition Fund Approximate size of fund's/mandate's holding as at the date of the vote (as % of portfolio) Summary of the resolution How you voted? Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote? Rationale for the voting decision Outcome of the vote Implications of the outcome eg were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will Approvided Not provided	UBS - Emerging	Company name		
Transition Fund Fund's/mandate's holding as at the date of the vote (as % of portfolio)				
How you voted? Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote? Rationale for the voting decision Outcome of the vote Implications of the outcome eg were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will Votes against resolution No No Full details for the plan and associated proposals have not been disclosed. Pass We are not planning future steps in regard to the outcome, as the scheme was approved by a majority of shareholders.		fund's/mandate's holding as at the date of the vote (as % of portfolio)	Not provided	
Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote? Rationale for the voting decision Outcome of the vote Implications of the outcome eg were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will No Full details for the plan and associated proposals have not been disclosed. Pass We are not planning future steps in regard to the outcome, as the scheme was approved by a majority of shareholders.		-		
management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote? Rationale for the voting decision Outcome of the vote Implications of the outcome eg were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will No Full details for the plan and associated proposals have not been disclosed. Pass We are not planning future steps in regard to the outcome, as the scheme was approved by a majority of shareholders.			Votes against resolution	
Rationale for the voting decision Outcome of the vote Implications of the outcome eg were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will Full details for the plan and associated proposals have not been disclosed. Pass We are not planning future steps in regard to the outcome, as the scheme was approved by a majority of shareholders.		management, did you communicate your intent to the	No	
Implications of the outcome eg were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will We are not planning future steps in regard to the outcome, as the scheme was approved by a majority of shareholders.		Rationale for the voting decision	disclosed.	
were there any lessons learned We are not planning future steps in regard to the outcome, as and what likely future steps will the scheme was approved by a majority of shareholders.			Pass	
· ·		were there any lessons learned		

	outcome?	
	On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be most significant?	36% of shareholders voted against the plan and associated proposals.
UBS - Global	Company name	The Boeing Company
Equity Climate	Date of vote	18 April 2023
Transition Fund	Approximate size of fund's/mandate's holding as at the date of the vote (as % of portfolio)	Not provided
	Summary of the resolution	Report on Climate Lobbying
	How you voted?	Votes supporting resolution
	Where you voted against management, did you communicate your intent to the company ahead of the vote?	Company not advised prior to meeting
	Rationale for the voting decision	The proposal would further enable shareholders to determine the strength of company policy, strategy and actions in regards to climate change.
	Outcome of the vote	Fail
	Implications of the outcome eg were there any lessons learned and what likely future steps will you take in response to the outcome?	Following the significant support for this proposal we shall be monitoring the next steps from the company.
	On which criteria have you assessed this vote to be most significant?	40% of votes cast were in support of this shareholder proposal.

Source: Managers